

NUR EARCHER

ISSN(P): 2958-9746, (E): 2958-9738 Volume 5, Issue 4 (Oct - Dec 2025)



Original Article



Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Regarding Instrument Handling in Intraoperative Environment among Operation Theatre Staff

Imad Ud Din Khan¹, Muhammad Mohsin², Athar Shams Rana¹, Laiba Mukhtar², Talha², Muhammad Mohsin Abbas² and Tehreem Tariq

¹Department of Health Technologies, Green International University, Lahore, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Operating Room Nursing, Surgical Instruments, Infection Control, Sterilization, Health Knowledge, Practice, Patient Safety, Perioperative Nursing, Hospital Hygiene

How to Cite:

Khan, I. U. D., Mohsin, M., Rana, A. S., Mukhtar, L., Talha, ., Abbas, M. M., & Tariq, T. (2025). Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Regarding Instrument Handling in Intraoperative Environment among Operation Theatre Staff: Knowledge and Practice Regarding Instruments in the Intraoperative Environment. NURSEARCHER (Journal of Nursing & Midwifery Sciences), 5(4), 03-08. https://doi.org/ 10.54393/nrs.v5i4.189

*Corresponding Author:

Imad Ud Din Khan

Department of Health Technologies, Green International University, Lahore, Pakistan imadkhanck@gmail.com

Received Date: 9th September, 2025 Revised Date: 16th October, 2025 Acceptance Date: 3rd November, 2025 Published Date: 31st December, 2025

ABSTRACT

In the intraoperative setting, proper handling of instruments is essential to maintain surgical efficiency and ensure patient safety. This would heavily rely on the personnel of the operating theatre(OT), such as surgeons, nurses, and technicians. Their knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) of working with the instruments could be evaluated, which would help identify the areas $that \, require \, improvement \, in \, the \, outcomes \, of \, the \, surgery. \, \textbf{Objectives:} \, \, \text{To} \, assess \, the \, knowledge, \, and \, consider the surgery is the surgery in the surgery in the surgery in the surgery is the surgery in the surge$ attitudes, and practices of the operating theatre personnel related to the management of instruments during the intraoperative care, to find the gaps in the protocol compliance, and to discuss the factors that impact compliance. Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among working staff in specific hospitals operating theatres. They came up with a self-administered questionnaire that assessed their knowledge, attitudes, as well as practices in regard to instrument handling. Results: This study found that 113 (78%) operating theatre staff had a decent theoretical understanding of how to handle the instruments, and 116 (80%) were aware of their responsibility in preventing surgical site infection. Nevertheless, only 87(60%) of them were adherent to proper handling protocols. In workload, time constraints, and limited resources (20%) were the main obstacles, which showed that there was a knowledge practice gap despite positive attitudes. Conclusions: Despite the fact that the majority of operating theatre staff showed sufficient knowledge and good attitudes to aseptic techniques and infection control, there is still a lot to be desired in decontamination, validation of sterilization, and storage of the instruments.

INTRODUCTION

Surgical instruments are specially designed to modify tissue or provide access during operations. Some common instruments used in surgery are scalpels, forceps, scissors, needles, and retractors. Handling is hence critical to safety, mobility efficiency, and prevention of tissue and instrument damage [1]. In the context of adverse events, it is noted that surgical patients have the highest risk of these events in the hospital and that many of these events result from failures of non-technical skill in the operating room. The WHO surgical checklist was developed due to this problem being recognized worldwide, leading to a decrease in surgical complications and deaths related to surgery, as well as an improvement in the communication with the surgical team and an increase in the quality of care [2]. Nosocomial infections, often known as infections contracted during hospitalization, are infections that strike patients in healthcare facilities in settings where these do not pre-exist at the time when the patient is admitted [3].

²Department of Emerging Allied Health Technology, Superior University, Lahore, Pakistan

Several pathogenic microbes are involved in the pathogenesis of this type of infection, particularly 'Pseudomonas aeruginosa', 'Staphylococcus species', and 'coagulase-negative staphylococci' [4]. One of the important and most frequent sources of infection in the hospital setting is the operating theater, where the skin barrier is penetrated and the body is exposed to easily acquire infection easily and, according to a study, ~30% of patients who undergo surgery develop post-procedural infection. In clinical practice, the appropriate application of protocols that can effectively control the rate of infection in postoperative settings plays a vital role in infection control. Sterile technique plays a big role in preventing and controlling infection in the theatre by applying the principles of sterile technique; therefore, the OR nurses must have knowledge and measures taken by health care workers to prevent the contamination of surgical wounds [5]. Despite this, adherence to the sterile technique principle is still low, and operating room nurses have a good attitude toward practicing the sterile technique [6]. However, several studies have shown that the OR nurses' clients are associated with expenses, mortality, and morbidity. One of the main concerns is that the perioperative team's antibiotics are still surgical site infections (SSIs)[7]. SSIs are responsible for 75% of deaths and have a 3% fatality rate. If aseptic and sterile procedures are not followed, bacteria can enter the wound through surgical wounds and cause SSI [8]. Every year, SSIs endanger the lives of millions of people and help promote resistance to diseases that are directly linked to them [9]. The operating room (OR) serves as a location for performing surgeries and other medical procedures. Characters and models are created by the nature of surgeries; the work of an OR nurse, or intraoperative nurse, is crucial in hospitals today and involves treating patients [10]. Their duties include more than just providing fastpaced, high-load, and variable nursing care in the operating room. Basic medical care, cleaning and circulation, anaesthetic skills, engineering, and technical skills have all advanced quickly along with medical research and technology [11]. Furthermore, the intricacy of the surgical workplace is physical, chemical, biological, ergonomic, and psychosocial, and poses a threat to the physical and mental health of intraoperative nurses. Occupational hazards and nurses' safety have been influenced by a range of occupational risks and hazards, ranging from accidents to well-being in differing degrees [12]. Recent years have seen the emergence of organizational views, all of which have the ability to impact highly significant global challenges. Related studies have also shown that OT staff should be aware of the proper handling and disposal of surgical instruments. Lack of knowledge about asepsis, sterilization, and proper instrument handling is a risk factor for cross-contamination and infection. The researchers note that OT staff typically have insufficient knowledge of infection control practices and the importance of complying with the sterilization process [13, 14]. Research showed that the majority of the staff knew general infection prevention protocols, but many did not know the specifics involved in proper instrument handling, such as which items would maintain sterility or the importance of personal protective equipment (PPE). Likewise, from their research they found surgical nurses had limited knowledge on the correct way to clean and store surgical equipment, indicating the need for further education and training [15]. The researchers also found that OT nurses who understand the importance of infection control have responsible attitudes and subsequently have better practices in maintaining sterile environments during surgical procedures. Instrument safety, in practice, is still not universally applied between hospitals or around the surgical space. Instruments are only effective to the extent that they are used routinely in practice by OT staff trained in the correct practices to ensure the best outcomes when executed by the technicians; however, poor practices and adherence only to what they think is in their best interests leave others at risk [16]. The Professionals found that highvolume hospitals with stringent protocols had staff more likely than their counterparts in smaller institutions with less formalized procedures to follow the best practices in handling instruments. They also noted that although knowledge and attitude were generally good, there were deficiencies in practice, often due to time constraints, lack of appropriate resources, or inadequate supervision. There were various deviations from standard practices, which led to increased risk of contamination and infection, including inadequate instrument sterilization, insufficient storage conditions, and not discarding instruments in a sterile way [17]. The role of the scrub A PN or surgical technologist can serve as a part of the sterile team and perform the scrub job (Association for Peri-Operative Practice, 2014:210). A scrub practitioner is responsible for designing, putting down, and managing surgical instruments, besides keeping the sterile area. Among the greatest tasks of scrub is to foresee the requirements of the surgeon and offer reactive help to ensure a smooth surgical process. The circulator is implemented along with the counting of surgical equipment and the prevention of surgical objects being held back [17]. The adequate handling of the intraoperative instruments is central to the achievement of the precision of the surgery, its sterility, and the safety of the patient. Nevertheless, the lack of consistent compliance with aseptic practices and procedural guidelines among operating theatre staff remains a triggering factor in the occurrence of surgical site infections and inefficiencies in operating theatres.

To evaluate their knowledge, attitude, and practice, strengthen institutional compliance frameworks.

METHODS

The study involved a descriptive cross-sectional study carried out in the Operating Theatres of Chaudhry Muhammad Akram Teaching and Research Hospital, Lahore, in the period between October 2024 and April 2025, to assess the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of operating theatres personnel with reference to instrument handling. The research was conceived by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Superior University with reference number SU/IRB/FAHS/MS/S100345 and informed consent was signed by the participants. The sample size was determined based on the standard formula of estimating proportions in a finite population with an assumption of prevalence of 50, 95% confidence level (Z = 1.96), and 8 percent margin of error; a sample size of 150 was obtained; however, considering non-response, the sample was reduced to 145. The sampling was purposive nonprobability, in which operating consultants, collaborating surgeons, scrub nurses, and OT technicians were recruited, and pre- and post-recovery staff, sterilization staff, storekeepers, and helping staff were not. Intraoperative teams were the subject of the data collection conducted in the form of structured surveys, interviews, and observations. IBM SPSS Statistics version 29 was used to analyze data, in which descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, mean, standard deviation, and median, were used to summarize the data.

RESULTS

This descriptive cross-sectional study provided some intriguing results regarding the overall theoretical knowledge about the handling of the instruments by the personnel in the operating theatre (OT), identifying a strong variation at the level of the consistency of the procedures. Fifty percent (50.3%) reported that they could only accept sterile areas of surgical instruments and 37.3% participants thought that it was okay to handle any clean area, and 12.4% did not know. Even though 60 percent of them checked the instruments as clean after each use, the percentage of those who did it less frequently is significant, which indicates the lack of full compliance with the guidelines on infection control. The majority of the participants (78.5) have found the use of autoclaving as the best type of sterilization, but 21.5% have found sub-optimal options like alcohol swabs or dry heat. Positively, 85.4 percent reported that dirty equipment ought to be substituted right away, whereas a minority would proceed or were uncertain, and this left a relative risk of practice. More than that, only 43 percent of them knew how to pass instruments correctly without violating sterility, and there were gaps in their procedures despite sufficient

knowledge. On the attitudinal level, the subjects of the study were positive and safety-oriented. Almost one out of every ten (89) respondents thought that proper handling of instruments was of the utmost importance to patient safety, and 69.9% of the respondents felt that they received sufficient training. Confidence levels were very high, with 47.6 percent of them saying that they were very confident and 39.9 percent being somewhat confident. A majority of 88.8% responded that safety measures should be strictly adhered to, whereas 80% responded that mishandling of instruments is a factor that leads to complications of surgery. These reactions are indications of a positive professional demeanor, which is in congestion with the principles of patient safety and the awareness of the personnel regarding their clinical roles. Practical compliance with these principles, on the contrary, was a bit vague. Though 81.8% said they always adhered to the standard procedures, 14% said they only did so occasionally, and 4.2% said that they never or hardly ever did it. Where the sterility of an instrument was in doubt, 62.2% of the respondents put the instrument away and took another one, and 29.4% would ask another colleague before doing so. A minimal number chose to check or reuse without verification, which is indicative of lapses that may result in the impairment of sterility. Only less than half (44.8>25% of participants attended refresher courses regularly, and 15.3% had never attended because of limited opportunities. On an optimistic note, the percentage of people who took safety tools or devices was 76.2 percent, and 87.4 percent kept their operating environment clean and orderly, which showed that the general level of procedural discipline was rather high (Table 1).

Table 1: Knowledge Assessment, Attitude Assessment, and Practice Assessment of Operation Theatre Personnel (n=145)

Questions	Response	n (%)
Knowledge Assessment		
Correct Technique for Handling Surgical Instruments	Hold Instruments by Sterile Part Only	73 (50.3%)
	Hold By Any Clean Part	54 (37.3%)
	Not Sure	18 (12.4%)
Frequency of Inspection Before Use	After Every Use	87(60.0%)
	Once Daily	35 (24.1%)
	Occasionally	23 (15.9%)
Appropriate Method of Sterilization	Autoclaving	114 (78.5%)
	Alcohol Swabs	15 (10.4%)
	Dry Heat or Others	16 (11.1%)
Response to Contaminated Instruments	Replace With Sterile Instrument	124 (85.4%)
	Continue Using	10 (6.9%)
	Unsure	11(7.7%)
Correct Way to Pass Instruments	Hand Without Touching Sterile Part	62 (43.0%)
	Pass by Handle Directly	46 (32.0%)
	Other/Unsure	37(25.0%)

	Attitude Assessment		
Importance of Proper Instrument Handling for Patient Safety	Extremely Important	129 (89.0%)	
	Moderately Important	13 (9.0%)	
	Slightly Important	3(2.0%)	
Adequacy of Training	Adequately Trained	101(69.9%)	
	Need More Training	41(28.0%)	
	Unsure	3(2.1%)	
Confidence in Proper Handling	Very Confident	68 (47.6%)	
	Somewhat Confident	57(39.9%)	
	Not Confident/Not Sure	18 (12.6%)	
Belief About Safety Protocols	Should be strictly followed	127(88.8%)	
	Useful but flexible	4(2.8%)	
	Not necessary/unsure	12 (8.4%)	
Belief That Mishandling Causes Complications	Yes	115 (80.0%)	
	No	9 (6.0%)	
	Maybe	19 (13.0%)	
Practice Assessment			
	Practice Assessment		
	Always	117 (81.8%)	
Following Standard Protocols		117 (81.8%) 20 (14.0%)	
Following Standard	Always		
Following Standard	Always Sometimes	20 (14.0%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never	20 (14.0%) 8 (4.2%)	
Following Standard Protocols	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace	20 (14.0%) 8 (4.2%) 89 (62.2%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly	20 (14.0%) 8 (4.2%) 89 (62.2%) 42 (29.4%) 9 (6.3%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%) 65(44.8%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in Refresher Courses	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%) 65(44.8%) 58(39.9%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally Never/No opportunity	20 (14.0%) 8 (4.2%) 89 (62.2%) 42 (29.4%) 9 (6.3%) 3 (2.1%) 65 (44.8%) 58 (39.9%) 22 (15.3%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in Refresher Courses Use of Safety Tools/	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally Never/No opportunity Always Sometimes Never	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%) 65(44.8%) 58(39.9%) 22(15.3%) 110(76.2%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in Refresher Courses Use of Safety Tools/ Devices	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally Never/No opportunity Always Sometimes	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%) 65(44.8%) 58(39.9%) 22(15.3%) 110(76.2%) 22(15.4%)	
Following Standard Protocols Action When Sterility is Uncertain Participation in Refresher Courses Use of Safety Tools/	Always Sometimes Rarely/Never Discard and replace Ask colleague Check personally Use without asking Regularly Occasionally Never/No opportunity Always Sometimes Never	20(14.0%) 8(4.2%) 89(62.2%) 42(29.4%) 9(6.3%) 3(2.1%) 65(44.8%) 58(39.9%) 22(15.3%) 110(76.2%) 22(15.4%) 13(8.4%)	

Collectively, these findings highlight a strong foundational knowledge and positive attitude among OT staff but reveal partial gaps in consistent practice. Strengthening routine training, supervision, and institutional policy enforcement could bridge the existing knowledge-practice divide and further enhance patient safety within the intraoperative setting.

DISCUSSION

The modern environment has come with certain challenges to the safety of surgery, which require continuous training to successfully overcome these complicated processes. Antibiotic resistance, alteration, and enhancement. The evolving nature of surgical procedures, including the advanced ones that require strict follow-up of sterile guidelines and tactical planning of using antibiotics [18]. The risk of dealing with infections in surgical facilities is quite high because the number of aged individuals, who have several other medical conditions at the same time, increases. Such surgical techniques as robotic surgery, laparoscopy complicate the situation where surgical teams have to make decisions

preoperative. This will require tailored measures to minimize risks, effective errors, resolution of problems caused by communication malfunctions, complicated processes, antibiotic resistance, and numerous improvements in surgical safety. The motivation levels of the healthcare community to maintain the highest standards of patient care manifest in the ability to evolve and address the past achievements and present-day challenges in surgical standards, including the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist, which enables reducing medical conditions among patients to an insignificant level, and the necessity of improvement [19]. It is necessary to enhance communication, coordination, and coordination in surgical teams to guarantee patient safety. Standard continuous training, judicious antibiotic therapy, and tailored preoperative testing are all safe measures [20]. Based on the results, three-quarters of the OT staff were knowledgeable enough regarding the policy of infection control, aseptic practices, and sterilization of instruments. The areas of decontamination methods, storing of instruments, and monitoring of sterilization were indicated as deficient, however. To avoid infection and ensure the safety of patients, the knowledge about the right way to handle instruments should be very basic among the OT staff members. The decrease in the chances of contamination involves a sufficient knowledge of aseptic methods, sterilization procedures, and proper storage of instruments. Studies have indicated that many OT staff members have varying levels of knowledge on sterilization procedures, which has been a problem in the ability to effectively implement infection control procedures. This knowledge gap can be caused by a high turnover rate in healthcare organizations, the absence of continuous education, and inadequate training [21]. The attitude of the majority of the OT staff was good; 80 percent of them concurred that proper handling of instruments reduces surgical site infections (SSIs). Nevertheless, compliance remained affected by such factors as workload, time limitation, and lack of resources. The attitudes of OT staff members towards the instruments and infection control influence their work in the operating room significantly. To keep the level of care high, it is necessary to have good attitudes towards infection prevention, the knowledge of the importance of adhering to sterile procedures, and the desire to participate in further education programs. OT professionals, with a strong and safety-oriented mentality, would be more cautious with surgical tools, communicate better with other team members, and adhere to procedures more strictly [22]. But 60 percent of the staff never followed through with the proper procedures of handling the instruments, even when they had positive

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/nrs.v5i4.189

attitudes and high degrees of knowledge. Among the most common shortcomings of practice were inappropriate changing of gloves, failure to dry an instrument before sterilization, and failure to use aseptic transfer methods. The actual instrument handling practice between the OT professionals is tested on knowledge and attitude. Time limitations, workload of the staff, and environmental aspects, such as the OR arrangement, can affect the actual practice of maintaining a sterile field, working with a surgical instrument, and obeying sterilization rules, despite having all the necessary information and a positive attitude[23].

CONCLUSIONS

The research concluded that there is a significant disparity between the knowledge of the operating theatre staff and their practice. The majority of the participants were well aware of the aseptic methods, sterilization, and infection prevention, but there were still some gaps in decontamination, monitoring of sterilization, and storage of instruments. Even after demonstrating an attitude of being good in the handling of instruments that are safe, many of them did not engage in proper procedures because of high workload, time pressure, and lack of resources. The findings demonstrate that continuous training, improvement of supervision, and institute policies can help guarantee consistent compliance and enhance the results of surgical safety.

Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: IUDK, MM, LM, MMA Methodology: MM, LM, T, MMA, TT Formal analysis: IUDK, MM, TT

Writing review and editing: IUDK, ASR, LM, T, MMA, TT

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFRENCES

- Audah HR and Hassan HS. Evaluation of Nursing Staff's Practices about Aseptic Technique in the Operating Room. Mosul Journal of Nursing. 2022 Aug; 10(3): 56-62. doi: 10.33899/mjn.2022.175394.
- [2] Lee A, Tipney B, Finstad A, Rahman A, Devenny K, Abou Khalil J et al. Exploring Human Factors in the Operating Room: A Protocol for A Scoping Review of Training Offerings for Healthcare Professionals. BioMed Journal Open. 2021 Jun; 11(6): e044721. doi:

- 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044721.
- [3] Chellam Singh B and Arulappan J. Operating Room Nurses' Understanding of Their Roles and Responsibilities for Patient Care and Safety Measures in Intraoperative Practice. SAGE Open Nursing. 2023 Jul; 9: 23779608231186247. doi: 10.1177/23779608231186247.
- Tamoor I, Bisma FB, Sajid A. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Nurses Regarding Infection Control in Operation Theater in Secondary Care Hospital. Menoufia Medical Journal. 2024; 37(2): 1-5. doi: 10.59 204/2314-6788.3055.
- [5] Horgan S, Saab MM, Drennan J, Keane D, Hegarty J. Healthcare Professionals' Knowledge and Attitudes of Surgical Site Infection and Surveillance: A Narrative Systematic Review. Nurse Education in Practice. 2023 May; 69: 103637. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.20 23.103637.
- Rothrock JC. Alexander's Care of the Patient in Surgery-E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences. 2022 Jul.
- Habtewold YW, Getnet MA, Genetu KB, Woretaw AW. Nurses' Knowledge, Perceived Practice, and Associated Factors Towards Sterile Techniques in Major Operation Rooms at Public Hospitals in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2022: A Cross-Sectional Study. BioMed Central Nursing. 2024 Oct; 23(1): 794. doi: 10.1186/s12912-024-02462-2.
- [8] Leaper DJ and Edmiston CE. World Health Organization: Global Guidelines for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2017 Feb; 95(2): 135-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2016.12.016.
- Seidelman JL, Mantyh CR, Anderson DJ. Surgical Site Infection Prevention: A Review. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2023 Jan; 329(3): 244-52. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.24075.
- [10] Nsekambabaye JP. Operating Room Nurses Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Sterile Techniques in Rwanda Referral Hospitals (Doctoral Dissertation). 2017.
- [11] Phillips NF. Berry and Kohn's Operating Room Technique, 12/e. Elsevier India. 2020.
- Irani CS and Chu CH. Evolving with technology: Machine Learning as an Opportunity for Operating Room Nurses to Improve Surgical Care-A Commentary. Journal of Nursing Management. 2022 Nov; 30(8): 3802-5. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13736.
- [13] Gao J. An Investigation of the Impact of Operating Room Occupational Hazards on Intraoperative Nurses. 2011.
- [14] Asiri AA, Al-Rafdan TA, Asiri AA, Alsawaih FN, Al-Qahtani AM, Albishi NN et al. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Towards Infection Control among Health Care Staff in Saudi Arabia. Journal of

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/nrs.v5i4.189

International Crisis and Risk Communication Research. 2024; 7(S10): 450.

- [15] Ali M, Javed H, Wahid A, Haq F, Shahab M, Tayyeb M. Assessment of Knowledge Attitude and Practices of Operation Theatre Staff Regarding Prevention of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection. Journal of Bacha Khan Medical College. 2024; 5(02): 134-45. doi: 10.69830/jbkmc.v5i02.173.
- [16] Khamsa CA, Isunju JB, Babibako HM, Nuwaha Ntoni F. Adherence to Standard Infection Prevention and Control Practices and Factors Associated among Healthcare Workers at Juba Teaching Hospital, Juba-South Sudan: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition. 2025 Mar; 44(1): 66. doi: 10.1186/s41043-025-00807-4.
- [17] Chakraverty R and Kundu AK. Good Practices and Standard Operating Procedures for Sterilization Across Wards. In Hospital-Acquired Infections in Intensive Care Unit and Their Management: The Indian Perspective. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. 2025 Feb: 115-127. doi: 10.1007/978-981-96-0018-2_11.
- [18] Zaman MS, Ahmed M, Mahboob N, Iqbal H, Afrin S, Biswas SM. Awareness, Attitude and Practice on Sterilization among Healthcare Staffs of a Tertiary Hospital in Bangladesh. European Journal of Medical and Health Sciences. 2021; 3(1): 12-8. doi: 10.34104/ ejmhs.021.012018.
- [19] Jurt J, Hübner M, Clerc D, Curchod P, Abd El Aziz MA, Hahnloser D et al. Challenges Related to Surgical Site Infection Prevention—Results After Standardized Bundle Implementation. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021 Sep; 10(19): 4524. doi: 10.3390/jcm10194524.
- [20] Van Zyl M, Van Wyk NC, Leech R. The Use of the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist in Operating Theatres. Health SA Gesondheid. 2023 Oct; 28(1). doi: 10.4102/hsag.v28i0.2246.
- [21] Wilson RM, Runciman WB, Gibberd RW, Harrison BT, Newby L, Hamilton JD. The Quality in Australian Health Care Study. Medical Journal of Australia. 1995 Nov; 163(9): 458-71. doi: 10.5694/j.1326-5377.1995.tb1 24691.x.
- [22] Shah MA, Hussain M, Ahmad T. An Appraisal Regarding the Knowledge and Practice of Health Care Workers on Infection Control in Opreation Theaters at Tertiary Care Hospitals, Peshawar. Multidisciplinary Surgical Research Annals. 2025 Aug; 3(3): 775-83.
- [23] Birgand G, Azevedo C, Toupet G, Pissard-Gibollet R, Grandbastien B, Fleury E et al. Attitudes, Risk of Infection and Behaviours in the Operating Room (The ARIBO Project): A Prospective, Cross-Sectional Study. BioMed Journal Open. 2014 Jan; 4(1):

e004274. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004274.